22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Joseph H. Harrington Acting United States Attorney Eastern District of Washington George J.C. Jacobs, III

Assistant United States Attorney Post Office Box 1494

Spokane, WA 99210-1494 Telephone: (509) 353-2767

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JUN 1 n 2021

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff.

2:19-CR-00154-TOR

V.

Plea Agreement

URIEL BALENTIN BADILLO.

Defendant.

Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Joseph H. Harrington, Acting United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, and George J.C. Jacobs, III, Assistant United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, and Defendant URIEL BALENTIN BADILLO, and the Defendant's counsel, Nicholas Marchi and Adam Pechtel, agree to the following Plea Agreement:

Guilty Plea and Maximum Statutory Penalties: 1)

The Defendant, URIEL BALENTIN BADILLO, agrees to enter a plea of guilty to the Indictment filed on October 1, 2019, charging the Defendant with Escape From Custody, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 751(a), 4082(a). The Defendant understands that the charge contained in the Indictment is a Class D Felony. The Defendant also understands that the maximum statutory penalty for this offense is not more than 5 years of imprisonment; a fine not to exceed \$250,000; a term of supervised release of not more than 3 years; and a \$100 special penalty assessment.

The Defendant understands that a violation of a condition of supervised release carries an additional penalty of re-imprisonment for all or part of the term of supervised release, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), without credit for time previously served on post-release supervision.

2) The Court is Not a Party to the Agreement:

The Court is not a party to this Plea Agreement and may accept or reject this Plea Agreement. Sentencing is a matter that is solely within the discretion of the Court. The Defendant understands that the Court is under no obligation to accept any recommendations made by the United States and/or by the Defendant; that the Court will obtain an independent report and sentencing recommendation from the U.S. Probation Office; and that the Court may, in its discretion, impose any sentence it deems appropriate up to the statutory maximums stated in this Plea Agreement.

The Defendant acknowledges that no promises of any type have been made to him with respect to the sentence the Court will impose in this matter. The Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider the applicable sentencing guideline range, but may depart or vary upward or downward under the appropriate circumstances.

The Defendant also understands that should the sentencing judge decide not to accept any of the parties' recommendations, that decision is not a basis for withdrawing from this Plea Agreement or a basis for withdrawing this plea of guilty.

3) Waiver of Constitutional Rights:

The Defendant understands that by entering this plea of guilty the Defendant is knowingly and voluntarily waiving certain constitutional rights, including:

- a) The right to a jury trial;
- b) The right to see, hear and question the witnesses;
- c) The right to remain silent at trial;
- d) The right to testify at trial; and
- e) The right to compel witnesses to testify.

While the Defendant is waiving certain constitutional rights, the Defendant understands the Defendant retains the right to be assisted through the sentencing and any direct appeal of the conviction and sentence by an attorney, who will be appointed at no cost if the Defendant cannot afford to hire an attorney. The Defendant also acknowledges that any pretrial motions currently pending before the Court are waived.

4) Elements of the Offense:

The United States and the Defendant agree that in order to convict the Defendant of Escape From Custody, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 751(a), 4082(a), the United States would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements:

- a) First, in the Eastern District of Washington, the Defendant was in the custody of the Spokane Residential Re-Entry Center ("SRRC"), an institutional facility in which he was lawfully confined at the direction of the Attorney General;
- b) Second, the Defendant was in custody at the SRRC by virtue of a Judgment and Commitment Order of the United States

 District Court for the Eastern District of Washington revoking supervised release imposed by a prior judgement and commitment of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington (Cause No. 1:15-CR 020302-TOR-1) for felon in possession of firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), 924(a)(2); and
- c) Third, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily left the custody of the SRRC without permission.

5) Factual Basis and Statement of Facts:

The United States and the Defendant stipulate and agree that the following facts are accurate; that the United States could prove these facts beyond a reasonable doubt at trial; and these facts constitute an adequate factual basis for the Defendant's guilty plea. This statement of facts does not preclude either party from presenting and arguing, for

sentencing purposes, additional facts which are relevant to the guideline computation or sentencing, unless otherwise prohibited in this agreement.

The Defendant, URIEL BALENTIN BADILLO, was sentenced on January 10, 2019, to 13 months of custody with the federal Bureau of Prisons by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington revoking supervised release imposed by a prior judgement and commitment of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington (Cause No. 1:15-CR 020302-TOR-1) for felon in possession of firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), 924(a)(2). On July 28, 2019, the Defendant was placed in the custody of the Spokane Residential Re-Entry Center ("SRRC"), an institutional facility in which he was lawfully confined at the direction of the Attorney General. On July 28, 2019, Defendant signed an SRRC document acknowledging the consequences of escape.

Defendant was approved for a home pass during the period August 30, 2019, to 4:00 p.m. on September 1, 2019, but he failed to return to the SRRC as required and escaped from custody; that is, he knowingly and voluntarily left the custody of the SRRC without permission. Defendant was subsequently arrested by the United States Marshals Service.

6) <u>United States Sentencing Guideline Calculations</u>:

The Defendant understands and acknowledges that the United States Sentencing Guidelines (hereinafter "USSG") are applicable to this case and that the Court will determine his applicable sentencing guideline range at the time of sentencing.

a) Base Offense Level:

The United States and the Defendant agree that the base offense level for Escape From Custody, where, as here, the Defendant's confinement is by virtue of a conviction, is 13. See USSG §2P1.1(a)(1).

8;

b) Specific Offense Characteristics:

The United States and the Defendant also agree and stipulate that the base offense is decreased by 4 levels because the Defendant escaped from the non-secure custody of a community corrections center or similar facility. See USSG §2P1.1(b)(3).

c) Guideline Adjustments:

Other than what is stated above under "Base Offense Level" and "Specific Offense Characteristics," the United States and the Defendant have no further agreements concerning the application of adjustments to the applicable guideline range; the United States and the Defendant may each advocate for or against the application of any other adjustments to the applicable guideline range.

d) Acceptance of Responsibility:

If the Defendant pleads guilty and demonstrates a recognition and an affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for the criminal conduct; provides complete and accurate information during the sentencing process; does not commit any obstructive conduct; accepts this Plea Agreement; and enters a plea of guilty no later than the pretrial conference, the United States will recommend that the Defendant receive a two (2) level downward adjustment in the offense level for the Defendant's timely acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(a).

The Defendant and the United States agree that the United States may at its option and upon written notice to the Defendant, not recommend a two (2) level downward reduction for acceptance of responsibility if, prior to the imposition of sentence, the Defendant is charged or convicted of any criminal offense whatsoever or if the Defendant tests positive for any controlled substance.

e) <u>Criminal History</u>:

The United States and the Defendant understand that the Defendant's criminal history computation is tentative and that ultimately the Defendant's criminal history category will be determined by the Court after review of the Presentence Investigation Report. The United States and the Defendant have made no agreement and make no

representations as to the criminal history category, which shall be determined after the Presentence Investigation Report is completed.

7) <u>Departures and Variances</u>:

The Defendant is free to seek downward departures or variances from the applicable sentencing guideline range determined by the Court. The United States reserves the right to oppose any requests for downward departures made by the Defendant. The United States agrees not to move for any upward departures or variances from the applicable sentencing guideline range.

8) <u>Incarceration</u>:

Defendant has been in custody since September 19, 2019. The parties agree to recommend a sentence of imprisonment to time served.

9) Criminal Fine:

The United States and the Defendant agree to recommend the Court impose no criminal fine.

10) Supervised Release:

The Defendant acknowledges that the United States will recommend that the Court impose a 3-year term of supervised release to include the following special conditions, in addition to the standard conditions of supervised release:

- a) that the Defendant participate and complete such drug testing and drug treatment programs as the Probation Officer directs; and
- b) that the Defendant's person, residence, office, vehicle, and belongings are subject to search at the direction of the Probation Officer upon reasonable suspicion.

The Defendant and the United States agree to recommend that the Court order that the Defendant participate in-patient drug treatment as directed by the Probation Office.

11) Mandatory Special Penalty Assessment:

The Defendant agrees to pay the \$100 mandatory special penalty assessment to the Clerk of Court for the Eastern District of Washington, at or before sentencing,

pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013 and shall provide a receipt from the Clerk to the United States before sentencing as proof of this payment.

12) Payments While Incarcerated:

If the Defendant lacks the financial resources to pay the monetary obligations imposed by the Court, the Defendant agrees to earn the money to pay toward these obligations by participating in the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program.

13) Additional Violations of Law Can Void Plea Agreement:

The Defendant and the United States agree that the United States may at its option and upon written notice to the Defendant, withdraw from this Plea Agreement or modify its recommendation for sentence if, prior to the imposition of sentence, the Defendant is charged or convicted of any criminal offense whatsoever or if the Defendant tests positive for any controlled substance.

14) Waiver of Appeal and Collateral Attack Rights:

Defendant understands that he has a limited right to appeal or challenge the conviction and sentence imposed by the Court. Defendant hereby expressly waives his right to appeal his conviction and the sentence the Court imposes, including any restitution order. Defendant further expressly waives his right to file any post-conviction motion attacking his conviction and sentence, including a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, except one based upon ineffective assistance of counsel based on information not now known by Defendant and which, in the exercise of due diligence, could not be known by Defendant by the time the Court imposes the sentence.

```
23 //
```

24 //

25 | //

26 //

15) <u>Integration Clause</u>:

The United States and the Defendant acknowledge that this document constitutes the entire Plea Agreement between the United States and the Defendant, and no other promises, agreements, or conditions exist between the United States and the Defendant concerning the resolution of the case. This Plea Agreement is binding only upon the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Washington, and cannot bind other federal, state or local authorities. The United States and the Defendant agree that this agreement cannot be modified except in a writing that is signed by the United States and the Defendant.

Approvals and Signatures

Agreed and submitted on behalf of the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Washington.

Acting United States Attorney

George J.C. Jacobs, III
Assistant U.S. Attorney

I have read this Plea Agreement and have carefully reviewed and discussed every part of the agreement with my attorney. I understand and voluntarily enter into this Plea Agreement. Furthermore, I have consulted with my attorney about my rights, I understand those rights, and I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this case. No other promises or inducements have been made to me, other than those

24 // 25 // 26 // 27 //

1	contained in this Plea Agreement and no one has threatened or forced me in any way to
2	enter into this Plea Agreement. I am agreeing to plead guilty because I am guilty.
3	Mn 13 June 2-21
5	UKIEL BALENTIN BADILLO Date Defendant
6	Detendant
7	
8	I have read the Plea Agreement and have discussed the contents of the agreement
9	with my client. The Plea Agreement accurately and completely sets forth the entirety of
0	the agreement between the parties. I concur in my client's decision to plead guilty as se
1	forth in the Plea Agreement. There is no legal reason why the Court should not accept
2	the Defendant's plea of guilty.
3	1111
4	Nicholas Marchi Attornay for the Defendant
5	Nicholas Marchi Attorney for the Defendant
6	Adam R Pechtel 6/8/2021
17	Attorney for Defendant
8	I have read the Plea Agreement and have discussed the contents of the agreement
9	with my client. The Plea Agreement accurately and completely sets forth the entirety of
20	the agreement between the parties. I concur in my client's decision to plead guilty as se
21	forth in the Plea Agreement. There is no legal reason why the Court should not accept
22	the Defendant's plea of guilty.
23	1100000000000000000000000000000000000
24	Adam Pechtel Date
25	Adam Pechtel Date Attorney for the Defendant
26	
27	